UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,317	02/15/2006	Atsuhiro Kawamoto	39709	4655
52054 PEARNE & GO	7590 04/23/200 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9T SUITE 1200		PASCHALL, MARK H		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patdocket@pearne.com dchervenak@pearne.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/568,317	KAWAMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark H. Paschall	3742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	Ing 2008				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 9-15</u> is/are pending in the a	upplication.				
·—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 9-15</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
	ion Papers	·				
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 June 2008 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[•	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6-11-2008, 11-10-2008, 4-9-2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3-5,12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parks et al 4,866,247.

The claims, inclusive of amendments are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the office action mailed 1/11/2008, paragraph 4 on pages 2-3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 3742

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6,7,9-11,13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu 6,002,104 in view of Parks et al 4,866,247. The claims, inclusive of new claims and amended claims, are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the prior office action mailed on 1-11-2008, paragraph 7 on page 4.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6-11-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments advance that the Parks patent does not teach a steeply lowered welding current before arc recurrence and a welding output current just after arc recurrence to be higher than a peak current in the short circuit period. The Examiner disagrees with this premise for the following reasons. Figure 2 in Parks shows a short circuit period between times T2 and T3. Also shown is the welding current between time T3 and T4. This represents a boost current having higher amplitude than in the short circuit period. The time for this higher current is just after the arc recurrence, as claimed. Also at time T3 the pinch current is steeply lowered. Applicants argue that Parks, in figure 2, merely shows an example where the plasma boost appears to be higher. This argument is not understood since Parks et al in column 9

Application/Control Number: 10/568,317

Art Unit: 3742

lines 53-54 clearly state the term "higher current" in reference to the boost current. Columns 9 and 10 in Parks clearly define a boost period following a pinch period, the boost period having a higher voltage. Clearly Parks renders obvious the claimed subject matter. Note that column 10 lines 2-3 that," Direct control of the current flow is needed to limit (current) flow during the short condition". Note that column 14 Example, lines 20-28 in Parks et al defines the welding current as 160 amps and the boost current as 330 amps, a considerable difference. Note that the method claims are silent as to any step of measuring peak short currents. Figure 2 in Parks also teaches a microprocessor to set parameters such as boost current, boost time, plasma time. With respect to claims 3,4,5 and 12 since the current level and current times are controlled to specific values, the will inherently have the arithmetic and multiplicative relationships claimed, the peak current will equal the short current plus some constant, the peak current will necessarily equal the short circuit current times some constant. Regarding new claims 14 and 15, the same arguments set forth above apply to these claims, broader than the original claims.

Page 4

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,317 Page 6

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

Mhp

/Mark H Paschall/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742